

## CoC Board Approved Review Criteria for FY2016 CoC Application

Category	Measure	Scoring	
<b>Part I: HUD Homeless Policy and Program Priorities</b>			
<b>Section I</b>			
1) a) Reducing Barriers to Entry  Goal: Minimum of 100% of the project applications commit to operating as low barrier.	- <i>Permanent or Transitional Housing project</i> allows entry to program participants that includes: low or no income, current or past substance use, criminal records—with the exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state or local law or ordinance (e.g., restrictions on serving people who are listed on sex offender registries), and history of domestic violence.	Yes No	25 0
1) b) Housing First  Goal: Minimum of 90% percent of the permanent housing and transitional housing projects commit to use of the Housing First model.	- <i>Permanent Housing, Transitional Housing or SSO project</i> prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing that does not have service participation requirements or preconditions (such as sobriety or a minimum income threshold).	Yes No	25 0
2) Ending Chronic Homelessness  Goal: 100% of turnover beds will become prioritized CH beds.	- Project demonstrates that chronically homeless individuals and families will be prioritized in permanent supportive housing that is not dedicated for chronically homeless individuals and families, and transitional housing; 100% of Permanent Supportive Housing beds that become available through turnover must be prioritized for chronically homeless individuals and families.	100% 85% Below 85%	20 10 0
3) Participant Choice	- Project demonstrates Program Participant choice by providing sober housing or providing client choice in housing location.	Yes No	10 0
<b>Section II</b>			
4) Coordinated Entry System	- Project participates fully in the Coordinated Entry System.	Yes No	20 0
5) Maximizing Mainstream Benefits  Goal: 90% of project participants access mainstream resources.	- Project demonstrates 90% of total adult clients received one or more mainstream resources (From most-recent APR).	Yes No	10 0

6) Permanent Housing Placement or Retention  Goal: 80%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Transitional Housing Project</i> demonstrates that 80 percent of persons who exit CoC program-funded transitional housing, supportive services only, and rapid re-housing projects exit to a permanent housing destination</li> <li>- <i>Permanent Housing Project</i> demonstrates that 80 percent of people in CoC program remained in the program as of the end of the operating year or exited to other permanent housing destinations (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.</li> </ul>	Yes      10 No        0
<b>Sub-total Maximum Score for Part I:</b>		<b>120 points</b>

<b>Part II: System Measures</b>										
<b>Category</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Scoring</b>								
7) Drawdowns/Expenditures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Renewal Projects: Use of funds.</i></li> <li>**If project is 6 months or more into current Operating Year as of 07/31/2016 a Projected amount will be determined; if project is less than 6 months into Operating Year as of 07/31/2016 data from most recently completed Operating Year will be used.</li> </ul>	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Range</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Score</td> </tr> <tr> <td>85-100%</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>70-84%</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Less than 70%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	Range	Score	85-100%	10	70-84%	5	Less than 70%	0
Range	Score									
85-100%	10									
70-84%	5									
Less than 70%	0									
8) Recapture of Funds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Renewal Projects: Balance of funds recaptured by HUD at end of most-recent operating year.</i></li> </ul>	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Range</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Score</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0-5%</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5+-10%</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Greater than 10%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	Range	Score	0-5%	10	5+-10%	5	Greater than 10%	0
Range	Score									
0-5%	10									
5+-10%	5									
Greater than 10%	0									
9) Unit Utilization Rate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Renewal Projects: Occupancy of available program vacancies; an average unit utilization rate is determined based on the bi-weekly inventory reports divided by the number of bi-weekly reports (25) between 07/29/2015 and 07/27/2016.</i></li> </ul>	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Range</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Score</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90-100%</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>80 – 89%</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Less than 80%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	Range	Score	90-100%	50	80 – 89%	25	Less than 80%	0
Range	Score									
90-100%	50									
80 – 89%	25									
Less than 80%	0									
10) Jobs and Income Growth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Renewal Projects: At least 20% of adult program participants increase incomes from employment and non-employment sources (from most-recent APR).</i></li> </ul>	Yes      10 No        0								
11) Returns to Homelessness	Percentage of Program Participants who left the program and returned to homelessness within 1 year of program exit (from System Performance Measure 2 from 10/01/2014 thru 09/30/2015).	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">0-15%</td> <td style="width: 50%;">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16-25%</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>More than 25%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	0-15%	10	16-25%	5	More than 25%	0		
0-15%	10									
16-25%	5									
More than 25%	0									

12) Program Compliance	<i>Renewal Projects</i> : Did project meet all grant agreement compliance requirements? (from most recent Monitoring Report)	No deficiencies 10 10% or less 5 11% or more 0
13) HMIS	- <i>Table of Missing Data Elements</i> (from most-recent APR)	Zero Missing Data 10 No More than 5+% in any category; no more than 6 categories 5 Additional missing data 0
<b>Sub-Total Maximum Score for Part II:</b>		<b>110 points</b>

<b>Part III: Worcester CoC Priority Needs</b>		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Scoring</b>
14) Additional Needs Based	- Project uses sober housing model that is in low demand by homeless persons, resulting in high vacancy rates.	Yes Minus 20 No 0
<b>Sub-Total Maximum Score for Part III:</b>		<b>0 points</b>
<b>Total Maximum Score</b>		<b>230 points</b>

<b>Scoring:</b>	<b>Ranking:</b>
1) New Project Applications and Projects Not Yet Started: Will be scored using Part I/Section I and Part III 2) Renewal Project Applications: Will be scored using Part I/Section I, Part I/Section II, Part II and Part III	New Project applications and Projects Not Yet Started will be ranked along with Renewal Project applications, without Part I Section II and Part II (past performance data, does not apply), to determine their over-all placement together with renewal project applications.