

### CoC Board Approved Review Criteria for 2015 CoC Application

Part I: HUD Policy and Program Priorities		
Category	Measure	Scoring
a. Reducing Barriers to Entry  Goal: Minimum of 75% of the project application(s) commit to operating as low barrier.	- <i>Transitional Housing project</i> allows entry to program participants that includes: low or no income, current or past substance use, criminal records—with the exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state or local law or ordinance (e.g., restrictions on serving people who are listed on sex offender registries), and history of domestic violence.	Yes 10 No 0
b. Housing First  Goal: Minimum of 75 percent of the permanent housing and transitional housing projects commit to use of the Housing First model.	- <i>Permanent Housing project</i> prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing that does not have service participation requirements or preconditions (such as sobriety or a minimum income threshold).	Yes 10 No 0
c. Ending Chronic Homelessness  Goal: 85% of turnover beds will become dedicated CH beds.	- Project demonstrates that chronically homeless individuals and families will be prioritized in permanent supportive housing that is not dedicated for chronically homeless individuals and families, and transitional housing; 85% of Permanent Supportive Housing beds that become available through turnover must be prioritized for chronically homeless individuals and families.	Yes 2 No 0
d. Need-Based Prioritization	- Project prioritizes households most in need: Chronically homeless individuals and/or families; Youth; Veterans, victims fleeing domestic violence.	Yes 2 No 0
e. Maximizing Mainstream Benefits	- Project demonstrates assistance is provided to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits: keeping program staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources available for homeless program participants, collaboration with healthcare organizations to assist homeless program participants to enroll in health insurance, and assistance with the effective utilization of available healthcare benefits.	Yes 2 No 0
<b>Sub-total Maximum Score for this section:</b>		<b>26 points</b>

Part II: Performance Criteria*										
Category	Measure (Data Source)	Scoring								
a. Drawdowns/Expenditures	- <i>Renewal Projects:</i> Use of funds. **If project is 6 months or more into current Operating Year as of 08/31/2015, a Projected amount will be determined; if project is less than 6 months into Operating Year as of 08/31/2015, data from most recently completed Operating Year will be used.	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Range</td> <td>Score</td> </tr> <tr> <td>85 – 100%</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>70 – 84%</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50 – 69%</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </table>	Range	Score	85 – 100%	6	70 – 84%	4	50 – 69%	2
Range	Score									
85 – 100%	6									
70 – 84%	4									
50 – 69%	2									
b. Recapture of Funds	- <i>Renewal Projects:</i> Balance of funds recaptured by HUD at end of most-recent operating year.	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Range</td> <td>Score</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 – 5 %</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5+ - 10%</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Greater than 10%</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </table>	Range	Score	0 – 5 %	6	5+ - 10%	4	Greater than 10%	2
Range	Score									
0 – 5 %	6									
5+ - 10%	4									
Greater than 10%	2									
c. Unit Utilization Rate	- <i>Renewal Projects:</i> Occupancy of available program vacancies; an average unit utilization rate is determined based on the bi-weekly inventory reports divided by the number of bi-weekly reports (14) between 03/09/2015 and 09/23/2015.	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Range</td> <td>Score</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90 – 100%</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>80 – 89%</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50 – 79%</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </table>	Range	Score	90 – 100%	10	80 – 89%	6	50 – 79%	2
Range	Score									
90 – 100%	10									
80 – 89%	6									
50 – 79%	2									
d. Earned Income	- <i>Renewal Projects:</i> Did at least 20% of adult program participants achieve this goal? (from most-recent APR)	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	2	No	0				
Yes	2									
No	0									
e. Increase in overall income	- <i>Renewal Projects:</i> Percentage of adult program participants who increased overall income (from most-recent APR)	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Range</td> <td>Score</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Greater than 20%</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10+ - 20%</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 – 10 %</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </table>	Range	Score	Greater than 20%	6	10+ - 20%	4	0 – 10 %	2
Range	Score									
Greater than 20%	6									
10+ - 20%	4									
0 – 10 %	2									
f. Permanent Housing Placement or Retention  Goal: 80%	<i>Transitional Housing Project</i> demonstrates that 80 percent of persons who exit CoC program-funded transitional housing, supportive services only, and rapid re-housing projects exit to a permanent housing destination and <i>Permanent Housing Projects</i> demonstrates that 80 percent of people in CoC program-funded permanent supportive housing remain for at least 12 months.	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	2	No	0				
Yes	2									
No	0									
g. Participant Eligibility	<i>Renewal Projects:</i> Did all program participants meet eligibility requirements?	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>No deficiencies</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10% or less of participant records with deficiencies</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>More than 10% of participant records with d deficiencies</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </table>	No deficiencies	6	10% or less of participant records with deficiencies	4	More than 10% of participant records with d deficiencies	2		
No deficiencies	6									
10% or less of participant records with deficiencies	4									
More than 10% of participant records with d deficiencies	2									

h. Grant application Issues and Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>All Projects:</i> Renewal Project FY2014 applications were free of Issues and Conditions as determined by HUD <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Major includes un-submitted Annual Performance Report, HUD-reported Financial Management issues</i></li> <li>- <i>Minor includes other HUD-reported issues, e.g., slow start-up, slow draw-downs</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">No Issues</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Minor</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(-) 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Major</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(-) 6</td> </tr> </table>	No Issues	0	Minor	(-) 2	Major	(-) 6
No Issues	0							
Minor	(-) 2							
Major	(-) 6							
i. HMIS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Table of Missing Data Elements</i> (from most-recent APR)</li> </ul>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">Zero Missing Data</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No More than 5+% in any category; no more than 6 categories</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Additional missing data</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> </table>	Zero Missing Data	2	No More than 5+% in any category; no more than 6 categories	1	Additional missing data	0
Zero Missing Data	2							
No More than 5+% in any category; no more than 6 categories	1							
Additional missing data	0							
j. Leveraging Goal: Minimum 150% of award is leveraged.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Project demonstrates the amount of assistance to be provided will be supplemented with resources from other public and private sources, including mainstream programs.</li> </ul>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">150% or more</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Less than 150%</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> </table>	150% or more	2	Less than 150%	0		
150% or more	2							
Less than 150%	0							
<b>Sub-Total Maximum Score for this section:</b>	<b>42 points</b>							

<b>Part III: Worcester CoC Priority Needs</b>						
<b>Category</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Scoring</b>				
a. Need for continued HUD Funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>All projects:</i> Program must have HUD CoC funds to continue to operate</li> </ul>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	2	No	0
Yes	2					
No	0					
b. CoC System Need	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>All Projects:</i> Program is critical for effective CoC housing and service system</li> </ul>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	2	No	0
Yes	2					
No	0					
c. Additional Needs Based	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Any other significant needs-based criteria, as identified by the CoC Board</li> </ul>	Up to 6 additional points				
<b>Sub-Total Maximum Score for this section:</b>	<b>10 points</b>					
<b>Total Maximum Score</b>	<b>78 points</b>					

\*Over-all project ranking is a two (2) phase process:

- 1) Renewal projects will be ranked against each other using scores from Sections I, II and III; New Project applications will be ranked against each other using scores from Sections I and III.
- 2) New Project applications will be ranked along with renewal project applications, without Section II (as Section II, Performance, does not apply), to determine their over-all placement together with renewal project applications.